



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed were the instant amendments to claims 3 and 8, specifically the recitation of "said second sleeve movable independently, at least partly, from said first sleeve" in lines 53-54 of both of the noted claims. The Examiner noted that merely being "movable" did not distinguish over the teachings of Wayne, since Wayne taught two distinctly separate components and that, as such, allowed for independent movement. The Examiner suggested the following language to replace the noted recitations: "said second sleeve in operation movable independently in relation to said first sleeve". Further, the Examiner noted that claims 12-13 would then fail to further limit their respective independent claims and should be cancelled should the suggested amended claims language be found acceptable. Applicant agreed to amend/cancel the claims as suggested by the Examiner by Examiners Amendment.